

Date: Wed, 10 Mar 93 04:30:30 PST
From: Ham-Policy Mailing List and Newsgroup <ham-policy@ucsd.edu>
Errors-To: Ham-Policy-Errors@UCSD.Edu
Reply-To: Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu
Precedence: Bulk
Subject: Ham-Policy Digest V93 #61
To: Ham-Policy

Ham-Policy Digest Wed, 10 Mar 93 Volume 93 : Issue 61

Today's Topics:

 A question for UK amateurs
 public apology part II

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu>
Send subscription requests to: <Ham-Policy-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Ham-Policy Digest are available
(by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/ham-policy".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

Date: 9 Mar 93 09:47:53 GMT
From: pipex!Q.icl.co.uk!dsbc!ozz!prs@uunet.uu.net
Subject: A question for UK amateurs
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

In article <1nffs6INN2kc@mercury.kingston.ac.uk>, ee_b152@king.ac.uk (Fat Ferret)
writes:

> Ok, so I have had a licence for 9 months, but only got myself a nice
> new Kenwood TH-28E at the RSGB show last saturday...
>
> Its got all the nice fancy features, but are they all legal to use in
> this country (i cant remember reading anything about CTCss or DTSS or
> DTMF in any documentation I came across)
>
> Eg: Is DTSS paging legal to use ?

Provided you continue to identify yourself on air in accordance with
the licence, you should be within the terms of the licence.

Sending tones are not explicitly prohibited, provided you are not sending
a secret code!

I have a TH78E and use DTSS quite a bit, including the message paging facility.

CTCSS is legal as well. There are a number of repeaters which accept a sub-audible tone as an alternative to the 1750 Hz tone burst.

> Any help appreciated!

>

> Ton

Peter G0PUB

--... ..- -... . --. ----- .--. ..- -... -.-
Peter Swynford is available... tel:+44 344 424842 x 2625 / fax:+44 344 489280
or at prs@oasis.icl.co.uk AX25:G0PUB@GB7RDG.GBR.EU
Disclaimer: See Paragraph 2.4.a of section 1.a (article 7) (iii) of the
Town and Country Planning Act, 1967.

Date: Tue, 9 Mar 1993 05:04:58 GMT
From: csus.edu!netcom.com!pdh@decwrl.dec.com
Subject: public apology part II
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

whs70@dancer.cc.bellcore.com (sohl,william h) writes:

>You owe them no apology in my opinion. They didn't fix the problem
>even if they replaced the BNC connector. They are not going to
>do anything to you, nor tdo they have any cause of action to do anything
>if what you said above is the truth.

There is the possibility that the BNC connector they replaced with is good
(and perhaps the one they replaced) and the one you are connecting to it is
bad. I really don't know, not being there.

However they should have tried a connector themselves in the store when
you brought it in. If theirs fit, they can say your other connector is
the bad one. Or maybe you did bring it in.

I do know there are a lot pf cheap connectors out there on the market.
The majority of connectors you see in the vendor tables at hamfests are
of the cheap kind. I've bought a few of those and found lots of bad
ones. There is a great variation in tolerance. But if you buy enough
of them from divers lots (buy one from each dealer) you might end up
with some that are good. I've always found all Amphenol connections
to be in tolerance (except one that appeared to have been stepped on,

but the store replaced it without any questions). Even that this store was not a discount house, the Amphenol connectors were only about twice the price of the cheap ones, so you'd have to find 1 out of 2 good ones to break even at that point.

On the other hand, you might have cheap ones permanently in use you need to connect to, and the connector that is exactly on tolerance might not fit, either.

--

```
/*****\
| Phil Howard, pdh@netcom.com, KA9WGN   Spell protection? "1(911)A1" |
| "It's not broken... it's just functionally challenged" --Phil and Pete |
\*****/
```

End of Ham-Policy Digest V93 #61
